S4 Table. Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) criteria for studies included in the meta-analyses.

(A) Meta-analysis of studies assessing seroconversion rate after H1N1 vaccination in ESRD patients undergoing hemodialysis

Number of	Starting	Quality asses	sment				Reasons to	Overall
participants	level of	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication	increase	quality of
	evidence				_	bias	level of	evidence
							evidence	
							(Large	
							magnitude of	
							effect; Dose-	
							response	
							gradient;	
							Potential	
							confounding)	
1191	Low	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious	N/A	Very low

(B) Meta-analysis of studies assessing seroprotection rate after H1N1 vaccination in ESRD patients undergoing hemodialysis

<u> </u>	v	0	<u> </u>				0 0	v
Number of	Starting	Quality asses	sment	Reasons to	Overall			
participants	level of	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication	increase	quality of
	evidence				_	bias	level of	evidence
							evidence	
							(Large	
							magnitude of	
							effect; Dose-	
							response	
							gradient;	
							Potential	
							confounding)	
1001	Low	Serious	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious	N/A	Very low

(C) Meta-analysis of studies assessing seroconversion rate after H3N2 vaccination in ESRD patients undergoing hemodialysis

Number of	Starting	Quality asses	sment	Reasons to	Overall			
participants	level of	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication	increase	quality of
	evidence				_	bias	level of	evidence
							evidence	
							(Large	
							magnitude of	
							effect; Dose-	
							response	
							gradient;	
							Potential	
							confounding)	
1012	Low	Serious	Serious	Not serious	Serious	Serious	N/A	Very low

(D) Meta-analysis of studies assessing seroprotection rate after H3N2 vaccination in ESRD patients undergoing hemodialysis

Number of	Starting	Quality assess	sment		Reasons to	Overall		
participants	level of	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication	increase	quality of
	evidence				_	bias	level of	evidence
							evidence	
							(Large	
							magnitude of	
							effect; Dose-	
							response	
							gradient;	
							Potential	
							confounding)	
691	Low	Not serious	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Serious	N/A	Very low

(E) Meta-analysis of studies assessing adverse events rates after COVID-19 vaccination in ESRD patients undergoing hemodialysis

Number of	Starting	Quality asses	sment	Reasons to	Overall			
participants	level of	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication	increase	quality of
	evidence					bias	level of	evidence
							evidence	
							(Large	
							magnitude of	
							effect; Dose-	
							response	
							gradient;	
							Potential	
							confounding)	
677	Low	Not serious	Serious	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	N/A	Very low